FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0655 Title: Local commission to review fish and wildlife

actions

Primary Sponsor: Maedje, R **Status:** As Introduced

ponsor signature	Date	David Ewer, Budget Director	Date
Fiscal Summary			
·		FY 2006	FY 2007
		<u>Difference</u>	Difference
Expenditures:			
State Special Revenue		\$0	\$0
Federal Special Revenue		\$0	\$0
Revenue:			
State Special Revenue		\$0	(\$16,789)
Federal Special Revenue		\$0	(\$10,745)
Net Impact on General Fund Balance	ce:	\$0	\$0
] Significant Local Gov. Impact		☐ Technical Concerns	
Included in the Executive Budget		Significant Long-Term Impacts	
Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		Needs to be included in HB 2	

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks (FWP)

- 1. Each board of county commissioners is divided into three commissioner districts. Section 2 allows each commissioner district to appoint three members to a local fish, wildlife, and parks review commission.
- 2. It is assumed that each county commissioner district will appoint a fish, wildlife, and parks review commission with three members each. (56 counties x 3 county commissioner districts x 3 appointees = 504 commissioners).
- 3. All 504 commissioners will be appointed on October 1, 2005, and will successfully serve 1 year of appointment thus being eligible to receive one Class AAA combination sports license in FY 2007 per 87-1-711(1), MCA.
- 4. Not all commissioners would have purchased the entire combination package, but rather individual licenses. Using license purchasing statistics, the department estimates state special revenue loss at \$16,789.
 - a. Fishing $-52\% \times 504 \times $13 = ($3,407)$
 - b. Sportsman without bear $-10\% \times 504 \times \$56.25 = (\$2,835)$

Fiscal Note Request HB0655, As Introduced

(continued)

- c. Deer A 41% x 504 x \$13 = (\$2,686)
- d. Elk -31% x 504 x \$16 = (\$2,500)
- e. Bird $-12\% \times 504 \times \$6 = (\$363)$
- f. Bear $-3\% \times 504 \times $15 = ($227)$
- g. Sportsman with bear $-4\% \times 504 \times \$66.25 = (\$1,336)$
- h. Conservation License $86\% \times 504 \times \$6.25 = (\$2,709)$
- i. Hunting Access Enhancement Fee $-72\% \times 504 \times \$2 = (\$726)$
- 5. For each paid hunting license holder, the department receives \$11.81 in federal Pittman/Robertson funding. For each paid fishing license holder, the department receives \$9.51 in federal Wallop/Breaux funding. The free combination license does not count toward the department's prorated share of federal dollars. These 504 free license holders represent a loss of \$10,745 annually to FWP. ([\$11.81 + \$9.51] x 504 = \$10,745
- 6. The fish wildlife and parks review commission shall meet once every six months (new section 2) and will be represented by at least one Fish, Wildlife and Parks employee. These operational expenditures will be absorbed in current budgets.
- 7. All additional costs to implement this legislation will be absorbed by the department.

EIGGAL DADAGE

FISCAL IMPACT:		
	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Revenues:		
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	(\$16,789)
Federal Special Revenue (03)	\$0	(\$10,745)
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus F	Funding of Expenditures):	
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	(\$16,789)
Federal Special Revenue (03)	\$0	(\$10,745)